

PART A - Initial Equality Screening Assessment

As a public authority we need to ensure that all our strategies, policies, service and functions, both current and proposed have given proper consideration to equality and diversity.

A **screening** process can help judge relevance and provide a record of both the process and decision. Screening should be a short, sharp exercise that determines relevance for all new and revised strategies, policies, services and functions.

Completed at the earliest opportunity it will help to determine:

- the relevance of proposals and decisions to equality and diversity
- whether or not equality and diversity is being/has already been considered, and
- whether or not it is necessary to carry out an Equality Analysis (Part B).

Further information is available in the Equality Screening and Analysis Guidance – see page 9.

1. Title				
,	onsultation Update: Proposed extension			
of a no waiting restriction (at any time)				
(Local Neighbourhood Road Safety Scheme programme - Tranche 1)				
Diverterate	Service area:			
Directorate:				
Regeneration & Environment	Transportation & Infrastructure			
Lead person: Marc Hill	Contact number: 01709 822814			
Loud porcon: maro rim	0011ta0t 11a1115011 011 00 022014			
Is this a:				
Strategy / Policy X Service / Function Other				
If other places execify				
If other, please specify				
2. Please provide a brief description of what you are screening				
Local Neighbourhood Road Safety Scheme at Harthill, to provide an extension to				
waiting restrictions to prevent obstructive parking on selected roads.				

3. Relevance to equality and diversity

All the Council's strategies/policies, services/functions affect service users, employees or the wider community – borough wide or more local. These will also have a greater/lesser relevance to equality and diversity.

The following questions will help you to identify how relevant your proposals are.

When considering these questions think about age, disability, sex, gender reassignment, race, religion or belief, sexual orientation, civil partnerships and marriage, pregnancy and maternity and other socio-economic groups e.g. parents, single parents and guardians, carers, looked after children, unemployed and people on low incomes, ex-offenders, victims of domestic violence, homeless people etc.

Questions	Yes	No
Could the proposal have implications regarding the accessibility of services to the whole or wider community?	х	
Could the proposal affect service users?	Х	
Has there been or is there likely to be an impact on an individual or group with protected characteristics?		х
Have there been or likely to be any public concerns regarding the proposal?	х	
Could the proposal affect how the Council's services, commissioning or procurement activities are organised, provided, located and by whom?		Х
Could the proposal affect the Council's workforce or employment practices?		х

If you have answered no to all the questions above, please explain the reason

If you have answered \underline{no} to \underline{all} the questions above please complete **sections 5 and 6.**

If you have answered **yes** to any of the above please complete **section 4.**

4. Considering the impact on equality and diversity

If you have not already done so, the impact on equality and diversity should be considered within your proposals before decisions are made.

Considering equality and diversity will help to eliminate unlawful discrimination, harassment and victimisation and take active steps to create a discrimination free society

by meeting a group or individual's needs and encouraging participation.

Please provide specific details for all three areas below using the prompts for guidance and complete an Equality Analysis (Part B).

• How have you considered equality and diversity?

Following discussions with local ward councillors, initial proposals were drawn up and an informal consultation took place in June 2023. Following feedback from residents' the double yellow line, waiting restriction proposals were reviewed and adjusted to include additional measures along Hard Lane, Union Street and adjoining side roads in Harthill.

A formal consultation then took place October 2024 where further feedback was received and reviewed by officers and local ward members.

Key findings

An issue was raised by a resident who has a physical disability and who stated that the introduction of double yellow lines outside their property would seriously impact access as they have no other off-street parking.

Actions

Although the national blue badge concession scheme allows for disabled parking on double yellow lines, it is time restrictive so the proposals were amended to remove the double yellow lines on this part of the carriageway allowing all day parking.

are made years in more our made point or are commenting or many an	ing timing and along promiting.
Date to scope and plan your Equality Analysis:	January 2025
Date to complete your Equality Analysis:	May 2025
Lead person for your Equality Analysis:	Nat Porter - Interim Head of Transportation Infrastructure Service

5. Governance, ownership and approval

Please state here who has approved the actions and outcomes of the screening:

Name	Job title	Date
Andrew Lee	Service Manager, Local	3 rd April 2025
	Schemes and Road Safety	

6. Publishing

This screening document will act as evidence that due regard to equality and diversity has been given.

If this screening relates to a **Cabinet**, **key delegated officer decision**, **Council**, **other committee or a significant operational decision** a copy of the completed document should be attached as an appendix and published alongside the relevant report.

A copy of <u>all</u> screenings should also be sent to <u>equality@rotherham.gov.uk</u> For record keeping purposes it will be kept on file and also published on the Council's Equality and Diversity Internet page.

Date screening completed	3 rd April 2025
Report title and date	Delegated Decision Report - TRO (Traffic Regulation Order) Consultation Update: Proposed extension of a no waiting restriction (at any time) at Harthill. December 2024
If relates to a Cabinet, key delegated officer decision, Council, other committee or a significant operational decision – report date and date sent for publication	Delegated Decision Report - TRO (Traffic Regulation Order) Consultation Update: Proposed extension of a no waiting restriction (at any time) at Harthill. December 2024
Date screening sent to Performance, Intelligence and Improvement equality@rotherham.gov.uk	4 th April 2025